arXiv:1605.07573v1 [math.DG] 24 May 2016

A local characterization for constant curvature metrics in 2-dimensional Lorentz manifolds

Ivo Terek Couto* Alexandre Lymberopoulos

May 25, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we define *Fermi-type coordinates* in a $2-$dimensional Lorentz manifold, and use this coordinate system to provide a local characterization of constant Gaussian curvature metrics for such manifolds, following a classical result from Riemann. We then exhibit particular isometric immersions of such metrics in the pseudo-Riemannian ambients $\mathbb{L}^3 \equiv \mathbb{R}^3_1$ and $\mathbb{R}^3_2$.

MSC 2010: Primary: 53B30
Keywords: Lorentz metrics, Constant Gaussian curvature, pseudo-Riemannian surfaces

1 Introduction

Constant sectional curvature metrics on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds appear naturally in physics as some of the solutions of Einstein's equation in vacuum

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

If the *cosmological constant* Λ is positive a particular solution is the *de Sitter* metric; if $\Lambda = 0$, it is the Lorentz-Minkowski metric; if Λ is negative, it is the *anti-de Sitter* metric.

In $3-$dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space, surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature have been studied under a diverse set of hypotheses with different techniques as in [1], [2] and [3].

The local classification of pseudo-Riemannian metrics of index s and constant sectional curvature on $n-$dimensional manifolds is given by the following classical result, known as Riemann's theorem (see [4, pp. 69]).

Theorem 1.1 (Riemann)**.** *Let M be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and let K be a real number. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) M is of constant curvature K.

(ii) If $x \in M$, then there are local coordinates u^i on a neighborhood of x in which the metric is given by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{\epsilon_1 \mathrm{d}u^1 \otimes \mathrm{d}u^1 + \ldots + \epsilon_n \mathrm{d}u^n \otimes \mathrm{d}u^n}{\left(1 + \frac{K}{4}\sum \epsilon_i (u^i)^2\right)^2}, \quad \epsilon_i = \pm 1.$$

(iii) If $x \in M$, then x has a neighborhood which is isometric to an open set on some $\mathbb{S}^n_s$ if $K > 0$, $\mathbb{R}^n_s$ if $K = 0$, $\mathbb{H}^n_s$ if $K < 0$.

*The first author was supported by FAPESP, grant $2014/09781-8$

In the previous statement we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}^n_s &= \left(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_s\right)\\ \mathbb{S}^n_s &= \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_s : \langle x, x\rangle_s = 1\right\}\\ \mathbb{H}^n_s &= \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{s+1} : \langle x, x\rangle_{s+1} = -1\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\langle x, y\rangle_s = \sum_{i=1}^{n-s} x^i y^i - \sum_{i=n-s+1}^{n} x^i y^i,$$

for any $x = (x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ and $y = (y^1, \ldots, y^n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

When $s = 0$ we have $\mathbb{R}^n_0 = \mathbb{R}^n$, the usual Euclidean space and $\mathbb{S}^n_0 = \mathbb{S}^n$, the standard Euclidean unit sphere. Furthermore, if $s = 1$ we have $\mathbb{R}^n_1 = \mathbb{L}^n$, the Lorentz-Minkowski space; $\mathbb{S}^n_1$, the de Sitter space (eventually denoted by dS_n); $\mathbb{H}^n_0 = \mathbb{H}^n$, the usual hyperbolic space with $x_{n+1} > 0$ and $\mathbb{H}^n_1$ the anti-de Sitter space (eventually denoted by AdS_n).

In particular, when $n = 2$ and $s = 0$ we have the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *A surface with $K = 0$ is locally isometric to the plane, with $K = 1$ is locally isometric to the unit sphere and with $K = -1$ is locally isometric to the hyperbolic plane.*

In this work we show a simpler proof of the above theorem when $n = 2$ and $s = 1$, using Fermi-type coordinates. More precisely we have:

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a $2-$dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $K \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then one and only one of the following holds:*

(i) if $K = 0$ then M is locally isometric to Lorentz-Minkowski plane $\mathbb{L}^2$, with metric expressed in Fermi-type coordinates as $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}u^2 + \mathrm{d}v^2$ or $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 - \mathrm{d}\vartheta^2$;

(ii) if $K = 1$ then M is locally isometric to the de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}^2_1$, with metric expressed in Fermi-type coordinates as $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}u^2 + \cosh^2 u\,\mathrm{d}v^2$ or $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 - \cos^2\tau\,\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2$;

(iii) if $K = -1$ then M is locally isometric to the anti-de Sitter space $\mathbb{H}^2_1$. In this case the metric is expressed in Fermi-type coordinates as $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}u^2 + \cos^2 u\,\mathrm{d}v^2$ or $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 - \cosh^2\tau\,\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2$;

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Firstly, we establish some notation and recall some standard definitions and results from pseudo-Riemannian geometry.

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M^n, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ has index 1 will be called a *Lorentz manifold*. In a Lorentz manifold, a tangent vector $X_p \in T_pM$ is

- *spacelike* if $\langle X_p, X_p\rangle > 0$ or if $X_p = 0$;
- *timelike* if $\langle X_p, X_p\rangle < 0$;
- *lightlike* if $\langle X_p, X_p\rangle = 0$ but $X_p \neq 0$.

Every tangent vector is of exactly one of the above *causal types*. The notion of causal type extends to curves in M in a natural way: if $\gamma\colon I \to M$ is a curve, then γ is said to be of one of the three causal types above if all its tangent vectors $\gamma'(t)$ share that causal type.

Recall that a *geodesic* in M is a curve $\gamma\colon I \to M$ such that $\nabla_{\gamma'(t)}\gamma' = 0$ for all $t \in I$, where ∇ is the *Levi-Civita connection* of the metric, or equivalently (see [5, p. 67]), if in all local coordinates $(u^1, \cdots, u^n)$ we have

$$\ddot{u}^k + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Gamma^k_{ij}\dot{u}^i\dot{u}^j = 0, \text{ for all } k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the dots denote the derivatives of the coordinates of γ with respect to the curve parameter and the Γ_{ij}^k are the *Christoffel symbols* of the metric in the coordinates chosen. We also have that geodesics do not change causal type, since

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\langle\gamma'(t),\gamma'(t)\rangle = 2\langle\gamma'(t),\nabla_{\gamma'(t)}\gamma'\rangle = 0.$$

It is also easy to see that any non-lightlike curve has a unit-speed reparametrization.

If M is $2-$dimensional, and (u,v) are local coordinates in M, we express the metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ in these coordinates as:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = E\,\mathrm{d}u^2 + 2F\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v + G\,\mathrm{d}v^2,$$

where

$$E = \langle\partial_u,\partial_u\rangle, \quad F = \langle\partial_u,\partial_v\rangle, \quad G = \langle\partial_v,\partial_v\rangle$$

are the *the coefficients of the metric (first fundamental form) in the coordinates* (u,v).

On the following we establish some results about $2-$dimensional Lorentz manifolds (henceforth called *Lorentz surfaces*) starting with an analogous result to the existence of orthogonal coordinates for Riemannian surfaces.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X and Y be linearly independent vector fields in a neighborhood of a point p in a $2-$dimensional smooth manifold. There exists a coordinate system (u,v) at p such that $\partial_u = \lambda X$ and $\partial_v = \mu Y$.*

Proof. We are looking for smooth positive functions λ and μ such that the Lie bracket $[\lambda X, \mu Y]$ vanishes, since by Frobenius' theorem we will be able to find local coordinates (u,v) in M satisfying $\partial_u = \lambda X$ and $\partial_v = \mu Y$. Writing $[X,Y] = fX + gY$ for suitable smooth functions f and g and using the standard properties of Lie brackets leads to

$$0 = [\lambda X, \mu Y] = \big(\lambda\mu f - \mu Y(\lambda)\big)X + \big(\lambda\mu g + \lambda X(\mu)\big)Y,$$

that is

$$Y(\lambda) = \lambda f \quad \text{and} \quad -X(\mu) = \mu g. \tag{2.2}$$

If (x,y) is any coordinate system at p then we may write $X = a\partial_x + b\partial_y$ and $Y = c\partial_x + d\partial_y$, where a, b, c and d are smooth functions such that $ad - bc \neq 0$ and $(a^2+b^2)(c^2+d^2) \neq 0$. Hence we may write

$$X(\mu) = a\mu_x + b\mu_y \quad \text{and} \quad Y(\lambda) = c\lambda_x + d\lambda_y$$

so that equations (2.2) become

$$\begin{cases} c\dfrac{\lambda_x}{\lambda} + d\dfrac{\lambda_y}{\lambda} = f, \\ a\dfrac{\mu_x}{\mu} + b\dfrac{\mu_y}{\mu} = g. \end{cases}$$

Let $h_1(x,y) = \ln\big(\lambda(x,y)\big)$ and $h_2(x,y) = \ln\big(\mu(x,y)\big)$. With this, the equations above are written as

$$\begin{cases} c(x,y)(h_1)_x(x,y) + d(x,y)(h_1)_y(x,y) = f(x,y), \\ a(x,y)(h_2)_x(x,y) + b(x,y)(h_2)_y(x,y) = g(x,y), \end{cases}$$

which are two linear first order PDEs, that have solutions due to the condition $ad - bc \neq 0$. Hence we have found $\lambda = e^{h_1}$ and $\mu = e^{h_2}$ as desired. □

In the case of a Lorentz surface M, lemma 2.1 ensures that we can, at least locally, write the metric on M as

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = E\,\mathrm{d}u^2 + G\,\mathrm{d}v^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where E and G are smooth functions such that $EG < 0$. To see this, apply the lemma for two non-lightlike and non-zero orthogonal vector fields of opposite causal characters.

Lemma 2.2. *In this setting the Gaussian curvature of the metric is*

$$K = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{|EG|}} \left(\epsilon_1 \left(\frac{(\sqrt{|G|})_u}{\sqrt{|E|}} \right)_u + \epsilon_2 \left(\frac{(\sqrt{|E|})_v}{\sqrt{|G|}} \right)_v \right), \tag{2.4}$$

where ϵ_1 (resp. ϵ_2) is 1 or -1 if ∂_u (resp. ∂_v) is spacelike or timelike.

For a proof of the lemma above see [6, p. 54].

3 Fermi-type coordinates and its properties

From equation (2.1) one sees that given a point $p \in M$ and any unit vector $w \in T_pM$ there exists a unique geodesic $\gamma : I \to M$ passing through p with velocity w.

We can use this fact to exhibit a local parametrisation of M known, in Euclidean case, as *Fermi parametrisation* (see [7, p. 275] and [8, p. 192]). For a Lorentz surface we have distinct Fermi-type parametrisations, according to the causal type of the vector $w = \gamma'(0) \in T_pM$. In order to obtain a regular parametrisation we avoid lightlike geodesics. The construction is the same in both remaining cases and it is done as follows:

Fix an unit speed geodesic $\gamma\colon I \to M$. For each $\gamma(v) \in M$ consider the unit speed geodesic $\gamma_v : J_v \to M$ intersecting γ orthogonally in $\gamma_v(0) = \gamma(v)$. Set the map $\mathbf{x}$ as $\mathbf{x}(u, v) = \gamma_v(u)$, for each $v \in I$ and $u \in J_v$.

Recall that geodesics have constant causal type, that is, if γ is spacelike (resp. timelike) at some point, γ will be spacelike (resp. timelike) everywhere. Therefore all of the γ_v will be timelike (resp. spacelike), since $\{\gamma'(v), \gamma_v'(0)\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{\gamma(v)}M$, for all $v \in I$.

Proposition 3.1. $\mathbf{x}$ *is regular in some open neighborhood of $\gamma(I) \subset M$.*

Proof. We show that $\mathbf{x}_u$ e $\mathbf{x}_v$ are linearly independent in some neighborhood of $(0, v)$, for all $v \in I$. It suffices to note that

$$F(0, v) = \langle \mathbf{x}_u(0, v), \mathbf{x}_v(0, v) \rangle = \langle \gamma_v'(0), \gamma'(v) \rangle = 0.$$

Then $\mathbf{x}_u(0, v)$ e $\mathbf{x}_v(0, v)$ are orthogonal along $\gamma(I)$, hence linearly independent (since they are not lightlike) in some open neighborhood of it in M. □

Proposition 3.2. *In this coordinate system the metric of M is given by*

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\epsilon_\gamma \, \mathrm{d}u^2 + G \, \mathrm{d}v^2 \tag{3.1}$$

where ϵ_γ is 1 if γ is spacelike or -1 if timelike.

Proof. All γ_v are unit speed curves with the same causal type ϵ_{γ_v}. We have

$$E(u, v) = \langle \mathbf{x}_u(u, v), \mathbf{x}_u(u, v) \rangle = \langle \gamma_v'(u), \gamma_v'(u) \rangle = \epsilon_{\gamma_v}.$$

Now $\epsilon_\gamma \epsilon_{\gamma_v} = -1$ for all v, since M is $2-$dimensional, the metric is non-degenerate and has index 1. Hence $E(u, v) = -\epsilon_\gamma$.

Also, by construction, $F(0, v) = 0$ for all v. It remains to show that F does not depend on u. Fixing $v_0 \in I$, note that $\gamma_{v_0}(u) = \mathbf{x}(u, v_0)$ has coordinates $u^1 = u$ e $u^2 = v_0$, so making $k = 2$ in (2.1) yields $\Gamma^2_{11} = 0$.

Writing $(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{pmatrix}$ and its inverse as (g^{ij}), the expressions of Christoffel in terms of these objects are (see [5, p. 62]):

$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} g^{kr} \left(\frac{\partial g_{ir}}{\partial u^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jr}}{\partial u^i} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^r} \right). \tag{3.2}$$

Setting $k = 2$ and $i = j = 1$ in equation (3.2) leads to $g^{22} F_u(u, v_0) = 0$. It is clear that $g^{22} = \dfrac{-\epsilon_\gamma}{\det(g_{ij})} \neq 0$, hence $F_u(u, v_0) = 0$, that is, $F(u, v) = 0$. □

Remark 3.3. Since $G(0,v) = \epsilon_\gamma \neq 0$ and G is continuous, we can assume that the neighborhood of $\gamma(I) \subseteq M$ found on proposition 3.1 (reducing it if necessary) is such that G and ϵ_γ have the same sign.

Lemma 3.4. *In the same setting of the previous proposition, the Gaussian curvature of the metric* $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\epsilon_\gamma \,\mathrm{d}u^2 + G\,\mathrm{d}v^2$ *is*

$$K = \epsilon_\gamma \frac{(\sqrt{|G|})_{uu}}{\sqrt{|G|}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Make $E = -\epsilon_\gamma$ in (2.4). □

Lemma 3.5. $G_u(0,v) = 0$ *for each* v.

Proof. Using the same notation in the proof of proposition 3.2, observing that $\gamma(v) = \gamma_v(0) = \mathbf{x}(0,v)$ has coordinates $u^1 = 0$, $u^2 = u$, making $k = 1$ in (2.1) we obtain $\Gamma^1_{22} = 0$. Then, setting $k = 1$ and $i = j = 2$ in (3.2) gives $G_u(0,v) = 0$, since $g^{11} = \dfrac{G}{\det(g_{ij})}$. □

Remark 3.6. We observe that there exists two Fermi-type coordinates:

- *spacelike Fermi-type* coordinates, when the fixed geodesic γ is spacelike, that is, $\epsilon_\gamma = 1$;
- *timelike Fermi-type* coordinates, when the fixed geodesic γ is timelike, that is, $\epsilon_\gamma = -1$.

To avoid confusion we will denote the parameters in the timelike Fermi-type coordinates by (τ, ϑ).

4 Proof of the Main Result

At this point we are able to prove the main result of this work, providing a local classification of metrics with constant curvature dependng on the chosen Fermi-type coordinates. We work with the possible values for K.

(a) $K = 0$: in this case equation (3.3) becomes

$$\frac{(\sqrt{G})_{uu}}{\sqrt{G}} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -\frac{(\sqrt{-G})_{\tau\tau}}{\sqrt{-G}} = 0,$$

according to causal type of γ, whose solutions are $\sqrt{G(u,v)} = A(v)u + B(v)$ and $\sqrt{-G(\tau,\vartheta)} = A(\vartheta)\tau + B(\vartheta)$, respectively. Since $G = \epsilon_\gamma$ and $G_u = G_\tau = 0$ along γ (by lemma 3.5), we have $A \equiv 0$ and $B \equiv 1$.

We conclude that

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}u^2 + \mathrm{d}v^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 - \mathrm{d}\vartheta^2,$$

and we see that M is locally isometric to the Lorentz-Minkowski plane $\mathbb{L}^2$.

(b) $K = 1$:

- if γ is spacelike, equation (3.3) becomes

$$\frac{(\sqrt{G})_{uu}}{\sqrt{G}} = 1,$$

whose solutions are of the form $\sqrt{G(u,v)} = A(v)e^u + B(v)e^{-u}$. The initial conditions $G(0,v) = 1$ and $G_u(0,v) = 0$ lead to $A(v) = B(v) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $G(u,v) = \cosh^2 u$, that is

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}u^2 + \cosh^2 u\,\mathrm{d}v^2.$$

- if γ is timelike, equation (3.3) becomes

$$\frac{(\sqrt{-G})_{\tau\tau}}{\sqrt{-G}} = 1.$$

Solving this differential equation, with initial conditions as above, we obtain $G(\tau, \vartheta) = -\cos^2\tau$ and

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 - \cos^2\tau\,\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2.$$

(c) $K = -1$: in this case equation (3.3) is very similar to the previous case and the solutions are $G(u, v) = \cos^2 u$ e $G(\tau, \vartheta) = -\cosh^2\tau$. Hence

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}u^2 + \cos^2 u\,\mathrm{d}v^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 - \cosh^2\tau\,\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2.$$

5 Realization of those metrics

In the previous section we provided local expressions for metrics of constant curvature. Now we exhibit immersions of these metrics in $\mathbb{L}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^3_2$. Straightforward computations show that the following immersions have the desired metrics.

(a) For $K = 0$ the immersions are trivially given by inclusions as coordinate planes.

(b) For $K = 1$ we have

- $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}u^2 + \cosh^2 u\,\mathrm{d}v^2$.
  (i) $\mathbf{x}\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2_1 \subseteq \mathbb{L}^3$,

$$\mathbf{x}(u, v) = (\cosh u \cos v, \cosh u \sin v, \sinh u).$$

  (ii) $\mathbf{x}\colon \cosh^{-1}\left(]1, \sqrt{2}[\right) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3_2$,

$$\mathbf{x}(u, v) = \left(\cosh u \cosh v, \cosh u \sinh v, \int_0^u \sqrt{2 - \cosh^2 t}\,\mathrm{d}t\right).$$

- $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 - \cos^2\tau\,\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2$.
  (i) $\mathbf{x}\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2_1 \subseteq \mathbb{L}^3$,

$$\mathbf{x}(\tau, \vartheta) = (\sin\tau, \cos\tau\cosh\vartheta, \cos\tau\sinh\vartheta).$$

  (ii) $\mathbf{x}\colon \left]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right[\times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3_2$,

$$\mathbf{x}(\tau, \vartheta) = \left(\int_0^\tau \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 t}\,\mathrm{d}t, \cos\tau\cos\vartheta, \cos\tau\sin\vartheta\right).$$

Remark 5.1. Since translations are isometries in $\mathbb{R}^3_2$ and we have the periodicity condition $\mathbf{x}(\tau, \vartheta) = \mathbf{x}(\tau + \pi, \vartheta)$, we can restrict the domain of the latter parametrization to the given above, which is maximal since the metric is singular at its boundary.

If $K = 1$ the surface can be seen, for example, as a piece of one of the following surfaces (up to isometries of the ambient):



(A) $K = 1$ in $\mathbb{L}^3$ (B) $K = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3_2$

Figure 1: Constant Gaussian Curvature 1

(c) $K = -1$:

- $ds^2 = -du^2 + \cos^2 u\, dv^2$.
  (i) $\mathbf{x}\colon \left]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right[\times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{L}^3$,

$$\mathbf{x}(u,v) = \left(\cos u \cos v, \cos u \sin v, \int_0^u \sqrt{1+\sin^2 t}\, dt\right).$$

  (ii) $\mathbf{x}\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3_2$,

$$\mathbf{x}(u,v) = (\cos u \sinh v, \cos u \cosh v, \sin u).$$

  The same situation in remark 5.1 holds in this case.
- $ds^2 = d\tau^2 - \cosh^2 \tau\, d\vartheta^2$.
  (i) $\mathbf{x}\colon \cosh^{-1}\left(]1, \sqrt{2}[\right) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{L}^3$,

$$\mathbf{x}(\tau,\vartheta) = \left(\int_0^\tau \sqrt{2-\cosh^2 t}\, dt, \cosh\tau \cosh\vartheta, \cosh\tau \sinh\vartheta\right).$$

  (ii) $\mathbf{x}\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3_2$,

$$\mathbf{x}(\tau,\vartheta) = (\sinh\tau, \cosh\tau\cos\vartheta, \cosh\tau\sin\vartheta).$$

If $K = -1$ the surface can be seen, for example, as a piece of one of the following surfaces (again up to isometries of the ambient):



(A) $K = -1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3_2$ (B) $K = -1$ in $\mathbb{L}^3$

Figure 2: Constant Gaussian Curvature -1

Finally, we note that the surfaces in figure 1(A) and figure 2(A) are isometric when seen as surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (with its induced metric) but in the pseudo-Riemannian ambients considered here they have rotational symmetry around axes with distinct causal types. The same holds for the corresponding surfaces in figures 1(B) and 2(B).

References

[1] Rafael López, *Surfaces of Constant Gauss Curvature in Lorentz-Minkowski Three-Space*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 33 (2003), 971-993.

[2] J. A. Aledo, J. M. Espinar, J. A. Gálvez, *Timelike Surfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski Space with Prescribed Gaussian Curvature and Gauss Map*, J. Geom. Phys. 56 (2006), 1357-1369.

[3] C. H. Gu, H. S. Hu, J-I. Inoguchi, *On time-like surfaces of positive constant Gaussian curvature and imaginary principal curvatures*, J. Geom. Phys. 41 (2002), 296-311.

[4] Joseph A. Wolf, *Spaces of Constant Curvature*, sixth edition, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2011.

[5] Barret O'Neill , *Semi-Riemannian Geometry With Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, 1983.

[6] Barret O'Neill, *The Geometry of Kerr Black Holes*, Dover Books on Physics, 2011.

[7] John Oprea, *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, The Mathematical Association of America, 2007.

[8] Christian Bär, *Elementary Differential Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

IVO TEREK COUTO
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO
E-mail address: terek@ime.usp.br

ALEXANDRE LYMBEROPOULOS
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO
E-mail address: lymber@ime.usp.br